

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD M. ANAYA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

JANE C. PANDELL, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-02012-DMR](#)

**ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S MOTION  
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

Re: Dkt. No. 9

The court has reviewed Defendant Jane Curran Pandell's motion for an extension of time to respond to Plaintiff Edward M. Anaya's complaint and request to shorten time on the motion. [Docket No. 9.] The court will treat Defendant's motion as a motion for administrative relief pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11. **Any opposition by Plaintiff is due by no later than 5:00 p.m. on June 5, 2015.** Defendant's request to shorten time on the motion is denied as moot. The court will rule on the motion on June 8, 2015, in conformance with the local rules.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 5, 2015

